

From: [CouncillorRay Morgon](#)
To: [Anthony Clements](#)
Cc: [CouncillorBarry Mugglestone](#); [CouncillorGraham Williamson](#); [CouncillorNatasha Summers](#); [CouncillorLinda Hawthorn](#); [CouncillorChristopher Wilkins](#)
Subject: FW: Public Realm Transformation Call In
Date: 13 July 2021 14:28:48

Hi Anthony,

In accordance with the Council's Constitution and paragraph 17 of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee rules, a requisition signed by the members listed above is submitted to requisition for further scrutiny the decision made by Cabinet at its meeting on 7th July 2021 on the following grounds.

1. There is a lack of data, evidence and analysis on why the option of having an integrated public realm service carried out in-house by a Local Authority Company was dismissed.
2. Given the shrinking number of private sector operators and acknowledged market congestion, the cost of the integrated service may exceed what would be deemed Value for Money cost. There is a lack of detail on any Plan B.
3. There is a lack of detail on the specifications of the Contract and the practical operational workings of the contract.
4. There is no detail on how each London Borough provides its waste, street cleaning and weed control contract.
5. There is no detailed risk analysis in having the proposed service outsourced.
6. No evidence has been provided on the desired service standards and that an outsourced contract will improve performance.
7. There is no detail on how the Contract will provide for future changes to the waste collection service arising out of Government legislation.
8. There is no detail on the criteria that will be used to assess the bidders.
9. There are no details on the proposed KPI's to assess performance in terms of quality and timing of service and whether payment will be linked to performance.
10. There is no detail of how IT systems will be collecting performance data and who will be self-monitoring performance.
11. There is no detail on the proposed IT system to ensure common data systems, procedures and processes.
12. There is no detail of the due diligence that the Council will undertake to assess each potential bidder.
13. There is a lack of detail on how the £10 million value of the contract was arrived at. What are the current costs to the Council?
14. There is a lack of detail on the potential availability of a Depot to a potential bidders.
15. There is a lack of full financial costs, overheads and savings arising from the integration contract including plant and equipment, staff costs including

redundancy.

16. There is no detail on the normal profit margin on these kind of contracts
17. There is a lack of detail on what data and information will be supplied to potential bidders.
18. There is a lack of detail on the governance arrangements between the Council and successful bidder, particularly around later possible variations of the contract.
19. There is a lack of detail on how many household collections are being completed per day and the projections of increased volumes of waste due to increased housing developments and continued home working in full or in part during the life of the contract and how any increase will be accommodated in the contract.
20. There is a lack of detail in how the replacement of any equipment will be catered during the life of the contract.

Regards

Ray