

Planning Committee 24 October 2019

Subject: Quarterly Planning Performance Update

Report.

Report Author: Simon Thelwell, Head of Strategic

Development

1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 This quarterly report produces a summary of performance on planning applications/appeals and planning enforcement for the previous quarter, July to September 2019.
- 1.2 Details of any planning appeal decisions in the quarter where committee resolved to refuse planning permission contrary to officer recommendation are also given.
- 1.3 The Government has set performance targets for Local Planning Authorities, both in terms of speed of decision and quality of decision. Failure to meet the targets set could result in the Council being designated with applicants for planning permission being able to choose not to use the Council for determining the application

2 RECOMMENDATION

That the report be noted.

3 QUALITY OF PLANNING DECISIONS

3.1 In accordance with the published government standards, quality performance with regard to Major (10 or more residential units proposed or 1000+ sq m new floorspace or site area greater than 0.5 hectares), County Matter (proposals involving minerals extraction or waste development) and Non-Major applications are assessed separately. If more than 10% of the total decisions in each category over the stated period were allowed on appeal, the threshold for designation would be exceeded. Due to the fact that 10% of the number of non-major decisions made exceeds the total number of appeals,

there is no chance of designation so the performance against the non-major target will not be published in this report, although it will still be monitored by officers.

- 3.2 On 29 November 2018, MHCLG announced that there would be two periods assessed for purposes of designation:
 - decisions between 1 April 2016 and 31 March 2018, with subsequent appeal decisions to December 2018
 - decisions between 1 April 2017 and 31 March 2019, with subsequent appeal decisions to December 2019.
- 3.3 The first period (2016-18) has passed with the Council not at risk of designation for this period.
- 3.4 With regard to the period of decisions between 1 April 2017 and 31 March 2019, with subsequent appeal decisions to December 2019, all outstanding appeals have been determined, with the final figure at 6.7% appeals allowed for major applications and 0% for county matter applications. Therefore the Council is not at risk of designation for this period.
- 3.5 Although there has been no confirmation from MHCLG, it is reasonable to assume that the designation criteria will continue for the next two year rolling period which would cover all decisions for the period April 2018 to March 2020. The current figures for this are:

Total number of planning decisions over period (to date): 55

Number of appeals allowed: 2 % of appeals allowed: 3.6% Appeals still to be determined: 0

Refusals which could still be appealed: 3

County Matter Applications:

Total number of planning decisions over period (to date): 7

Number of appeals allowed: 0 % of appeals allowed: 0% Appeals still to be determined: 0

- 3.6 Due to the low number of decisions that we take that are majors or county matters, any adverse appeal decision can have a significant effect on the figure. Consequently, it is considered that at this time there is a risk of designation. The figure will continue to be carefully monitored.
- 3.7 As part of the quarterly monitoring, it is considered useful to provide details of the performance of appeals generally and summarise any appeal decisions received where either the Regulatory Services Committee/Strategic Planning

Committee/Planning Committee resolved to refuse planning permission contrary to officer recommendation. This is provided in the table below.

Appeal Decisions Jul-Sep 2019

Total Number of Appeal Decisions - 34
Appeals Allowed - 11
Appeals Dismissed - 23
% Appeals Allowed - 32%

Appeal Decisions where Committee Decision Contrary to Officer Recommendation

Total Number of Appeal Decisions - 1 (details below)

Appeals Allowed - 1
Appeals Dismissed - 0
% Appeals Allowed - 100%

Appeal Decisions Jul-Sep 2019

Decision by Committee Contrary to Officer Recommendation

Date of Committee	Application Details	Summary Reason for	Appeal Decision	Summary of Inspectors Findings		
		Refusal				
Strategic	P0048.18	Failure to	Appeal	The proposal would		
Planning	112-116 South	integrate with	allowed	have less than		
Committee 11 Oct 18	Street, Romford	non- designated heritage asset.		substantial harm on the non-designated asset. However the design is of good		
		Due to height and lack of tall		quality and the provision of suitable		
		buildings		town centre facility		
		nearby, would fail to respect		outweighs the harm.		
		the character		This part of the town		
		of the town		centre is varied and		
		centre		a taller building would not look out of		
				character.		

4 SPEED OF PLANNING DECISIONS

4.1 In accordance with the published government standards, speed of decision applies to all major and non-major development applications, with the threshold for designation set as follows:

Speed of Major Development (and County Matters) – 60% of decisions within timescale (13 or 16 weeks or such longer time agreed with the applicant)

- Speed of Non-Major Development 70% of decisions within timescale (8 weeks or such longer time agreed with the applicant)
- 4.2 On 29 November 2018 MHCLG announced that there would be two periods assessed for the purposes of designation:
 - Decisions made between October 2016 and September 2018
 - Decisions made between October 2017 and September 2019
- 4.3 For the period October 2016 to September 2018, performance was above the stated thresholds and there is no risk of designation.
- 4.4 For the period October 2017 to September 2019, the following performance has been achieved:

Major Development – 88% in time

County Matter – 100% in time

Non-Major Decisions - 90% in time

4.5 Based on the above performance, the Council is not at risk of designation due to speed of decision. The figure for future periods will continue to be monitored.

5 PLANNING ENFORCEMENT

5.1 There are no designation criteria for planning enforcement. For the purposes of this report, it is considered useful to summarise the enforcement activity in the preceding quarter. This information is provided below:

Jul – Sep 2019								
Number of Enforcement Complaints Received: 282								
Number of Enforcement Complaints Closed: 196								
Trainber of Emoreement Complaints Glosed.								
Number of Enforcement Notices Issued: 13								
Enforcement Notices Issued in Quarter								
Address	Subject of Notice							
42 Dymoke Road, Hornchurch	Unauthorised use for vehicle							
	servicing and repairs							
Sunnyside Farm, Risebridge Chase,	Change of use of barn to dwelling.							
Romford								
11 Nelmes Way, Hornchurch	Unauthorised fence							
Land North of Willoughby Drive,	lloughby Drive, Unauthorised use for waste transfer,							
Rainham	unauthorised hardstanding.							

Rear of 206 Victoria Road, Romford			Unauthorised canopy and car repairs.						
1A Chase Cross Road, Romford					d change	of	use	to	
			residential						
Tara,	Southend	Arterial	Road,	Breach	of	conditions	re	parki	ng,
Romford			amenity space and landscaping.						