

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

Document control

| Title of activity: | Council Tax Support Scheme 2018 |
|----------------------------|---|
| Type of activity: | This is a scheme which provides assistance to people on low incomes to help them pay their Council Tax. |
| Lead officer: | Chris Henry, Head of Council Tax & Benefits, Exchequer & Transactional Services, oneSource |
| Approved by: | Sarah Bryant, Director of Exchequer & Transactional Services |
| Date completed: | November 2017 |
| Scheduled date for review: | November 2018 |

| Did you seek advice from the Corporate Policy & Diversity team? | Yes |
|--|-----|
| Does the EIA contain any confidential or exempt information that would prevent you publishing it on the Council's website? | No |

1. Equality Impact Assessment Checklist

The Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) is a tool to ensure that your activity meets the needs of individuals and groups that use your service. It also helps the Council to meet its legal obligation under the <u>Equality Act 2010 and the Public Sector Equality Duty</u>.

Please complete the following checklist to determine whether or not you will need to complete an EIA. Please ensure you keep this section for your audit trail. If you have any questions, please contact the Corporate Policy and Diversity Team at diversity@havering.gov.uk

About your activity

| 1 | Title of activity | Council Tax Support Scheme 2018 |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Type of activity | This is a scheme which provides assistance to people on low incomes to help them pay their Council Tax. |
| 3 | Scope of activity | Many people on low incomes can get Council Tax Support (CTS) to help them pay their council tax bills. The Council Tax Support Scheme is funded by the Government and the Council who pay significantly towards the scheme as well. The CTS grant has been rolled into the Settlement Funding Allocation which has been reduced in-line with core funding reduction. While Government funding continues to fall, the Council has committed to maintaining the current 2017 CTS scheme in 2018. The proposed scheme will continue to protect pensioners who will get the same level of council tax support as they do now. It is proposed the CTS 2017/18 Scheme remains unchanged for working age claimants in 2018/19. |
| 4a | Is the activity new or changing? | No |
| 4b | Is the activity likely to have an impact on individuals or groups? | No |
| 5 | If you answered yes: | |

| Completed by: | Chris Henry, Head of Council Tax & Benefits |
|---------------|---|
|---------------|---|



2. Equality Impact Assessment

Background/context:

The Council proposes to maintain the Council Tax Support (CTS) Scheme in place since April 2015 for 2018/19. The scheme provides assistance to people on low incomes to help them pay their Council Tax.

The CTS grant has been rolled into the Settlement Funding Allocation which has been reduced in-line with core funding reduction. While Government funding continues to fall, the Council has committed to maintaining the current 2017 CTS scheme in 2018.

The proposed scheme will continue to protect pensioners who will get the same level of Council Tax Support as they do now.

In respect of working age claimants, the proposed scheme will provide the same level of support as it does now.

At 31 August 2017, 8,994 working-age claimants and 6,584 pensionable age claimants were in receipt of Council Tax Support.

CTS changes were catered for within the package of priorities and it can therefore be seen that in general respondents were in favour of the overall priorities change and a majority of residents were not in favour of raising council tax above 2% in order not to make up reductions proposed.

Support remains in place through the Council Tax Discretionary policy for those who suffer hardship.

In terms of the number of Council Tax Support Claimants and their household and personal status, the overall total, compared to last year, has reduced from 16,177 to 15,578 (Aug 2017).

| Council Tax Support Case Group Descriptions | Count |
|---|-------|
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Carer | 142 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Child Under 5 | 0 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Enhanced Disability | 0 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Family Premium | 3 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 1 Child | 8 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 2 Child | 2 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 4 Child | 1 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Non Dependant | 334 |

| Elderly - Non-Passported - Other | 1772 |
|--|-----------|
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Severe Disability | 393 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - War Pensioners | 17 |
| Elderly - Non-Passported - Working | 84 |
| Elderly - Passported - Carer | 171 |
| Elderly - Passported – Disabled Child premium | 1 |
| Elderly - Passported - Enhanced Disability | 1 |
| Elderly - Passported - Family Premium | 7 |
| Elderly - Passported - Family Premium - 1 Child | 9 |
| Elderly - Passported - Family Premium - 2 Child | 3 |
| Elderly - Passported - Family Premium - 3 Child | 1 |
| Elderly - Passported - Family Premium - 4 Child | 0 |
| Elderly - Passported - Non Dependant | 468 |
| Elderly - Passported - Other | 2301 |
| Elderly - Passported - Severe Disability | 849 |
| Elderly - Passported - Working | 17 |
| TOTAL (Elderly) = 6,584 (42%) | |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Carer | 100 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Child Under 5 | 382 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Disability | 101 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Disabled Child Premium | 33 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Enhanced Disability | 254 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Family Premium | 101 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 1 Child | 620 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 2 Child | 471 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 3 Child | 180 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 4 Child | 54 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Family Premium - 5 and | 34 |
| above | 7 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Lone Parent Child Under 5 | 424 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Non Dependant | 38 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Non Dependant Working Age - Non-Passported - Other | 36 182 |
| | |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Severe Disability | 138 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - War Pensioners | 4 |
| Working Age - Non-Passported - Working | 439 |
| Working Age - Passported - Carer | 445 |
| Working Age - Passported - Child Under 5 | 91 |
| Working Age - Passported - Disability | 153 |
| Working Age - Passported - Disabled Child Premium | 30 |
| Working Age - Passported - Enhanced Disability | 1225 |
| Working Age - Passported - Family Premium | 67 |
| Working Age - Passported - Family Premium - 1 Child | 401 |
| Working Age - Passported - Family Premium - 2 Child | 181 |
| Working Age - Passported - Family Premium - 3 Child | 53 |
| Working Age - Passported - Family Premium - 4 Child | 10 |
| Working Age - Passported - Family Premium - 5 and Above | 2 |
| Working Age - Passported - Lone Parent Child Under 5 | 876 |

| Working Age - Passported - Non Dependant | 250 |
|--|--------|
| Working Age - Passported - Other | 833 |
| Working Age - Passported - Severe Disability | 808 |
| Working Age - Passported - Working | 41 |
| TOTAL (Working Age) = 8,994 (58%) | |
| Grand Total Working Age & Elderly) | 15,578 |

| Age: Consider the full range of age groups | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|
| Please tick (the relevant to | | Overall impact: | |
| Positive | | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme. | |
| Neutral | ✓ | The impact of this scheme, as compared to the scheme available in 2015/16, remain the same as in the Equality Impact Analysis prepared | |
| | | in January 2015 | |
| Negative | | | |
| | | | |
| Evidence: | | | |
| Sources us | ed: | | |

| Disability: Consider the full range of disabilities; including physical mental, sensory and | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|--|
| progressive | progressive conditions | | |
| Please tick (* | | Overall impact: | |
| the relevant b | oox: | | |
| Positive | | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme. | |
| Neutral | ✓ | The impact of this scheme, as compared to the scheme available in 2015/16, remains the same as in the Equality Impact Analysis prepared | |
| Negative | | in January 2015. | |

| Evidence: | | |
|------------------------------|----------|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 0 | | |
| Sources us | ea: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Sex/gender | : Cor | nsider both men and women |
| Please tick (the relevant t | | Overall impact: |
| Positive | | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme. |
| Neutral | V | The impact of this scheme, as compared to the scheme available in 2015/16, remains the same as in the Equality Impact Analysis prepared |
| Negative | | in January 2015. |
| Evidence: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Sources us | ed: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Ethnicity/ra | ce: C | Consider the impact on different ethnic groups and nationalities |

Please tick (✓) the relevant box:

Overall impact:

Information:

The tables below show the projected figures for the breakdown of Havering by ethnicity/race and for Benefits claimants where they have supplied this information. The data is difficult to compare due to the different classifications of ethnicity used.

| 2017 (projection) | Number | Percentage of population (%) |
|-------------------------|---------|------------------------------|
| All ethnicities | 253,478 | 100.00 |
| White | 211,814 | 83.6 |
| Black Caribbean | 3,696 | 1.5 |
| Black African | 10,405 | 4.1 |
| Black Other | 1510 | 0.6 |
| Indian | 7,405 | 2.9 |
| Pakistani | 2,400 | 0.9 |
| Bangladeshi | 1,883 | 0.7 |
| Chinese | 1,567 | 0.6 |
| Other Asian | 3,651 | 1.4 |
| Mixed | 7,498 | 3.0 |
| Other | 1,648 | 0.7 |
| BAME ¹ Total | 41,663 | 16.4 |

Council Tax Support/Housing Benefit Claimants where Equalities information provided October 2017

| | Number | Percentage of claimants who provided information |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|
| White: British | 7882 | 65.92% |
| White: Irish | 158 | 1.32% |
| White: Any Other | 1018 | 8.51% |
| Mixed: White & Black Caribbean | 177 | 1.48% |
| Mixed: White & Black African | 84 | 0.70% |
| Mixed: White and Asian | 46 | 0.39% |

¹The GLA define BAME differently to the ONS. The GLA does not include a 'White Other' Group. Instead they have one category 'White' that includes 'White British' and 'White Other'.

| Mixed: Any Other Asian/Asian British: Indian | 99 | 0.83% 1.38% |
|--|-------|----------------|
| | | |
| Asian/Asian British: Pakistani | 204 | 1.71% |
| Asian/Asian British: Bangladeshi | 175 | 1.46% |
| Asian/Asian British: Any Other | 118 | 0.99% |
| Black/Black British: Caribbean | 341 | 2.85% |
| Black/Black British: African | 1007 | 8.42% |
| Black/Black British: Any Other | 146 | 1.22% |
| Chinese | 21 | 0.18% |
| Any Other | 198 | 1.66% |
| Gypsy/Traveller | 9 | 0.07% |
| Arab | 35 | 0.29% |
| Asian/Other | 14 | 0.12% |
| Claimant Declined | 60 | 0.50% |
| Total | 11957 | 100% |

From the data provided above, it would appear that there is a disproportionate impact on BME claimants. 83.6% of Havering's population are defined as White (including the 'White: Other' category such as Eastern Europeans), compared to 75.8% of benefit claimants who define themselves as White (including 'White: Other').

| Sources used: | | |
|---------------|--|---|
| | | * |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Religion/faith: Co | Religion/faith: Consider people from different religions or beliefs including those with no | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| religion or belief | religion or belief | | | | | |
| Please tick (🗸) the relevant box: | Overall impact: | | | | | |
| Positive | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme | | | | | |
| Neutral | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | |
| Evidence: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Sources used: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Sexual orientation | Sexual orientation: Consider people who are heterosexual, lesbian, gay or bisexual | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Please tick (✓) | Overall impact: | | | |
| the relevant box: | · | | | |

| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| Positive | Not known | | |
| Neutral | There is no information available to make an assessment on the impact | | |
| Negative | of the proposals on this protected characteristic. | | |
| Evidence: | , | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sources us | ed: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Condor roo | reignment. Canaidar pagala who are cooking undergoing or have received | | |
| | ssignment: Consider people who are seeking, undergoing or have received signment surgery, as well as people whose gender identity is different from | | |
| their gender | | | |
| Please tick (| | | |
| the relevant b | | | |
| Positive | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme. | | |
| Neutral | The impact of this scheme, as compared to the scheme available in | | |
| Neutrai | 2015/16, remains the same as in the Equality Impact Analysis prepared | | |
| Negative | in January 2015. | | |
| Evidence: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sources us | ed: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Marriage/civil partnership: Consider people in a marriage or civil partnership | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Please tick (✓) the relevant box: | Overall impact: | | | | |
| the relevant box. | the relevant box. | | | | |

| Positive | | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme. | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Neutral | | The impact of this scheme, as compared to the scheme available in | | |
| Negative | | 2015/16, remains the same as in the Equality Impact Analysis prepared in January 2015. | | |
| Evidence: | 1 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Sources us | ed: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | ernity and paternity: Consider those who are pregnant and those who | | |
| are undertak | king m | naternity or paternity leave | | |
| Please tick (| | Overall impact: | | |
| the relevant b | 00X: | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme | | |
| Positive | | There are no changes proposed to the C13 2016 Scheme | | |
| Neutral | | The impact of this scheme, as compared to the scheme available in | | |
| 1100111011 | | 2015/16, remains the same as in the Equality Impact Analysis prepared in January 2015. | | |
| Negative | 1 | iii January 2013. | | |
| Evidence: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Sources us | ed: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| | | status: Consider those who are from low income or financially excluded | | |
| backgrounds Please tick (* | | Overall impact: | | |
| the relevant b | | Overan impact. | | |

| Positive | | There are no changes proposed to the CTS 2018 Scheme. | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Neutral | ~ | The impact of this scheme, as compared to the scheme available in | | | | | | |
| Negative | | 2015/16, remains the same as in the Equality Impact Analysis prepare in January 2015. | | | | | | |
| Evidence: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Sources us | ed: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Action Plan

In this section you should list the specific actions that set out how you will address any negative equality impacts you have identified in this assessment.

| Protected characteristic | Identified negative impact | Action taken to mitigate impact* | Outcomes and monitoring** | Timescale | Lead officer |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|-----------|--------------|
| | | The Discretionary Policy is available to residents who are experiencing hardship. | In 2016, one application was made under the Discretionary Policy. This application was paid and discharged the remaining council tax liability for a working age applicant. During 2017, only one application has been received which was granted to the same applicant from 2016 whose circumstances had not changed since 2015. The fact that there has been no increase in applicants with disabilities presenting to the Discretionary Scheme would indicate that the | | |

Cabinet, dd mmmm yyyy

| | 15% Council Tax charge is being managed by this | |
|--|---|--|
| | group. | |

Review

In this section you should identify how frequently the EIA will be reviewed; the date for next review; and who will be reviewing it.

The EIA will be reviewed at annual intervals or earlier if the Council Tax Support scheme is reviewed earlier than September 2018.